Auditing Procedures Report V1.04





Issued under Public Act 2 of 1968, as amended WOLF LAKE SEWER COMMON FUND County JACKSON Type AUTHORITY MuniCode N/A Unit Name Opinion Date-Use Calendar Feb 28, 2008 Audit Submitted-Use Calendar Jun 30, 2008 Fiscal Year-Use Drop List 2007

If a local unit of government (authorities & commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is NOT included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission.

Plac "No		below. Questions left unmarked should be those you wish to answer						
X	1. Are all required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements?							
X	2. Does the local unit have a positive fund balance in all of its unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets?							
X	3. Were the local unit's actual expenditures within the amounts authorized in the budget?							
X	4. Is this unit in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury?							
X	5. Did the local unit adopt a budget for all required funds?							
X	6. Was a public hearing on the budget held in accordance	with State statute?						
X	7. Is the local unit in compliance with the Revised Municipa and other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance	al Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, ce Division?						
X	8. Has the local unit distributed tax revenues, that were co property tax act?	ollected for another taxing unit, timely as required by the general						
X	9. Do all deposits/investments comply with statutory requ	uirements including the adoption of an investment policy?						
X	10. Is the local unit free of illegal or unauthorized expenditu Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appe	ures that came to your attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of endix H of Bulletin.)						
X		that came to your attention during the course of audit that have not nce Division? (If there is such activity, please submit a separate report						
X	12. Is the local unit free of repeated reported deficiencies for	rom previous years?						
X	13. Is the audit opinion unqualified? 14. If not, wha	at type of opinion is it? NA						
X	15. Has the local unit complied with GASB 34 and other gen	nerally accepted accounting principles (GAAP)?						
X	16. Has the board or council approved all disbursements pri	ior to payment as required by charter or statute?						
X	17. To your knowledge, were the bank reconciliations that v	were reviewed performed timely?						
	18. Are there reported deficiencies?	If so, was it attached to the audit report?						
		General Fund Balance: \$ 1,139,628,00						
	General Fund Revenue: \$ 465,727.00	General Fund Balance: \$ 1,139,628.00						
	General Fund Expenditure: \$ 398,004.00	Governmental Activities Long-Term Debt (see \$ 0.00						
	Major Fund Deficit Amount: \$ 0.00	instructions):						

We affirm that we are certified public accountants (CPA) licensed to practice in Michigan. We further affirm the above responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (Reported deviations).

CPA (First Name)	DOUGLAS	Last ATKINS Name	Ten Digit Lice	nse Number 1101	016353
CPA Street Address	2880 SPRING ARBOR RD	City JACKSON	State MI	Zip Code 49203	Telephone +1 (517) 782-9351
CPA Firm Name	MARKOWŚKI & COMPANY C	Unit's Street 373 LAKESIDE I	OR . City C	BRASS LAKE	LU Zip 49240

WOLF LAKE SEWER COMMON FUND JACKSON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2007 and 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Statements of Net Assets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes Net Assets	4
Statements of Cash Flows	5
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	6

MARKOWSKI & COMPANY

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

2880 SPRING ARBOR ROAD • JACKSON • MICHIGAN • 49203 PHONE (517) 782-9351 FAX (517) 782-0599

MEMBERS:

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTATE PLANNING COUNCIL OF SOUTH CENTRAL MICHIGAN

RONALD L. MARKOWSKI, C.P.A.
DOUGLAS E. ATKINS, C.P.A.
SCOTT O. McLANE
RANDALL D. BIDDIX, C.P.A.
RETIRED PARTNER:
K. LAVERNE MARKOWSKI, C.P.A. (1961-2006)

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Sewer Advisory Committee Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund Grass Lake, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the **Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund**, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund Independent Auditor's Report Page Two

The Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis as required supplementary information. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that such information is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of the basic financial statements.

MARKOWSKI & COMPANY CPAs

Jackson, Michigan February 28, 2008

STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2007 and 2006

DECEMBER 31, ASSETS: 2007 2006 Cash and cash equivalents 129,971 47,849 1,011,741 Investments 927,831 Accounts receivable 650 Due from other governments 3,634 93,141 Accrued interest receivable 5,091 12,126 Total assets 1,151,087 1,080,947 LIABILITIES: Accounts payable 5,430 9,042 Due to other governments 6,029 Total liabilities 11,459 **NET ASSETS:** Restricted for debt service 1,139,628 1,071,905 Unrestricted 1,071,905 1,139,628 Total net assets

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND 2006

	THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31			
		2007		2006
OPERATING REVENUES:				
Customer fees - replacement and improvement	\$	11,504	\$	11,317
Customer fees - operations and maintenance		8,122		-
Total operating revenues		19,626		11,317
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Administration fees		3,300		2,700
Office supplies		117		44
Audit fees		2,000		1,800
Travel		21		-
Utilities		6,754		4,637
Repairs and Maintenance		3,372		11,445
Miscellaneous		107		6,458
Total operating expenses		15,671		27,084
OPERATING INCOME		3,955		(15,767)
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):				
Investment income		56,903		79,138
Customer fees - debt retirement		17,302		16,166
Special assessments collected by townships		371,576		468,750
Other income		320		71,979
Replacements and improvements		(5,900)		(55,087)
Debt service payments		(376,433)		(954,550)
Total non-operating revenues (expenses)		63,768		(373,604)
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS		67,723		(389,371)
NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR		1,071,905		1,461,276
NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR	\$	1,139,628	\$	1,071,905

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND 2006

	THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBE			EMBER 31,
		2007		2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				_
Customer fees received from townships	\$	17,516	\$	11,317
Payments to suppliers		(19,283)		(18,042)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities		(1,767)		(6,725)
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING AC	CTIVITI	ES:		
Customer fees received from townships		17,454		15,900
Special assessment collections received from townships		468,420		468,750
Payments received from Jackson County Drain office		320		71,979
Principal and interest payments		(376,433)		(954,550)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities		109,761		(397,921)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Replacements, renewals, and improvements		(5,900)		(55,087)
Investment income		63,938		67,012
Investments matured		341,304		793,100
Investments purchased		(425,214)		(392,331)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities		(25,872)		412,694
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		82,122		8,048
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - BEGINNING OF YEAR		47,849		39,801
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - END OF YEAR	\$	129,971	\$	47,849
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO NET CASH PR BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	OVIDE	D (USED)		
Operating income	\$	3,955	\$	(15,767)
Changes in assets - (Increase) or decrease:		•		, , ,
Accounts Receivable		(650)		-
Due from other governments		(1,460)		-
Changes in liabilities - Increase or (decrease):				
Accounts payable		(3,612)		9,042
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$	(1,767)	\$	(6,725)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.



NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund (the "Common Fund") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by the Common Fund:

A. REPORTING ENTITY

The Common Fund was established under the provisions of Act 35 of Michigan Public Acts of 1951 by the Charter Township of Grass Lake and Napoleon Township, both of which are located in Jackson County, Michigan. The Fund was established to account for the operations and maintenance, debt retirement and accumulation of resources for renewal, replacement and improvement of the public sanitary sewer system in the area of Big Wolf Lake, Little Wolf Lake and Big Olcott Lake located in both Townships. In accordance with the agreement, the Fund is considered an enterprise fund.

A non-binding advisory committee composed of two elected officials from each participating township (four in total) has been established. The Township Boards retain the power to approve the Common Fund's budgets, sewer rates, and non-routine expenses in excess of \$5,000 (non-routine expenses of less than \$5,000 and emergency repairs require the approval of the two township supervisors).

B. <u>MEASUREMENT FOCUS, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION</u>

1. Basis of Presentation:

These financial statements include all the accounts of the Common Fund. Under contractual agreements, these accounts include: a) the Operations and Maintenance account, which as of December 31, 2007 the townships were not depositing any monies to; the agreement calls for the \$14.60 per month per residential equivalent unit (REU) user charge to be deposited. The townships have agreed to use these charges to pay for maintenance (\$3.60 per REU) and wastewater treatment (\$9.50 per REU) directly to the service provider and deposit \$1.50 per user to the Common Fund; ; b) the Debt Service account, to which all connection fees, special assessments collected by the townships, and the \$2.00 per month per (REU) debt service charge billed by the townships to system customers are deposited; and c) the Renewal, Replacement and Improvement account, to which the \$1.40 per month per REU user charge billed by the townships are deposited.

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

B. <u>MEASUREMENT FOCUS</u>, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL <u>STATEMENT PRESENTATION</u> (Continued)

2. Basis of Accounting:

The Common Fund utilizes the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Private-sector standards of accounting issued prior to December 1, 1989 are generally followed in proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Governments also have the option of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to this same limitation. The Common Fund has elected not to follow private-sector standards issued after November 30, 1989 for its business-type activities.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenue and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing servicing and producing and delivering goods in connection with the proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The Common Fund recognizes the activities of its Operations and Maintenance and Renewal, Replacement and Improvement accounts as operating activities. The Debt Service account activities are considered nonoperating.

C: ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND NET ASSETS

1. Bank Deposits and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired. Investments are stated at cost as the Common Fund intends to hold all investments until they mature.

2. Receivables and Payables

All receivables represent amounts due for debt service and maintenance fees that the two Townships collect and remit to the Common Fund. No allowance for bad debts is established as any unpaid amounts can become a lien against the benefitting property under state law.

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

C: <u>ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND NET ASSETS</u>

3. Restricted Assets

Restricted assets consist of cash and investments that have been restricted for debt service.

4. Capital Assets

The Common Fund agreement was established following the construction of the infrastructure assets of the waste water disposal system. As a result, these assets are not reported by the Common Fund. Subsequent asset purchases are treated as an expense of the Common Fund, and deducted from the net assets of the Renewal, Replacement and Improvement account.

5. Long Term Debt

As discussed in note 1 C 4 above, the Wolf Lake Section of the wastewater disposal system predates the existence of the Common Fund. The debt agreements between Jackson County and the participating townships also predate the Common Fund, and as a result are not reported here. Debt service of the long term debt is reported as a nonoperating activity of the Common Fund.

6. Net Assets

The Common Fund reports its net assets for each of its three accounts. Any deficit in the Operations and Maintenance accounts would be deducted from the Renewal, Replacement and Improvement account.

D. ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2: CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS

Cash, cash equivalents, and investments of the Common Fund can be defined as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand, deposits (checking accounts), and investments with an original maturity no greater than 90 days. Deposits are in one local bank with accounts in its name.

These surplus funds are invested in accordance with state law and Grass Lake Charter Township's investment policy. Authorized investments include:

- (1) Bonds, securities and other obligations of the United States or an agency or Instrumentality of the United States;
- (2) Certificates of deposit, savings accounts, deposit accounts or depository receipts of a financial institution, but only if the financial institution is eligible to be a depository of funds belonging to the state under a law or rule of this state or the United States;
- (3) Commercial paper rated at the time of purchase within the highest classification established by not less than two standard rating services, and that matures not more than 270 days from the purchased date;
- (4) Repurchase agreements consisting of instruments listed in subdivision (1) above;
- (5) Bankers' acceptances of United States banks;
- (6) Mutual funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and with authority to purchase only investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by a public corporation;
- (7) Obligations described in (1) through (6) as named above is purchased through an interlocal agreement under the Urban Cooperation Act of 1967;
- (8) Investment pools organized under the Surplus Funds Investment Act, 367 of 1982;
- (9) Investment pools organized under the Local Government Investment Pool Act 121 of 1985.

The Common Fund's deposits are in accordance with statutory authority.

NOTE 2: CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The Common Fund's investments consist of certificates of deposit with an original maturity of greater than 90 days and proceeds from matured certificates held in a money market account pending future investment. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Fund had the following investments:

Investment Type		2007		2006	
Money market accounts	\$	250,741	\$	10,831	
Certificates of deposit		761,000		917,000	
	\$	1,011,741	\$	927,831	

Investment and deposit risk

The Common Fund is subject to certain risks resulting from its investing decisions. These risks are discussed below:

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The Common Fund minimizes this risk by investing in shorter term securities and holding them to maturity.

Concentration of Credit Risk - The Common Fund's investment policy contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by Michigan law. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 of the Common Fund had cash in excess of the amounts insured by the FDIC totaling \$29,971 and \$0. The Common Fund's investments are insured by the SIPC (up to \$500,000). At December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, the Fund had \$511,741 and \$427,831 in excess of insured amounts.

Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depositary financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party. Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not covered by depositary insurance and are uncollateralized; collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution; or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the Common Fund's name.

NOTE 2: CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk – (Continued)

The custodial credit risk for investments is that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g. broker-dealer) to a transaction, the Common Fund will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. Michigan law and the Common Fund's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits and investments, other than the following provision for deposits: Michigan law (MCL 129.33) requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depositary regulated under state law. The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 100% of the total amount deposited by public agencies.

NOTE 3: CAPITAL ASSETS

The Common Fund paid for the extension of a 2" sewer main to Rexford Road during 2007. This project cost \$5,900. During 2006, the Common Fund purchased natural gas generators for two lift stations. The total installed cost of these generators was \$55,087.

NOTE 4: DEBT SERVICE

The initial construction was financed with the issuance of \$4.9 million of general limited obligation tax notes issued by the County of Jackson, supported by lease agreements with the participating townships.. Additional financing was provided through the initial installment of special assessments levied by the two involved townships upon improved properties within the district boundaries, and new assessments to provide for the expansion of the system. The issued debt and corresponding capital assets are recorded on the township's financial statements.

During December 2006, the County refunded a portion of these original bonds (and accordingly the capital lease payments due from the Common Fund). This transaction resulted in an additional \$490,000 contribution from the Common Fund's Debt Service account, and results in the defeasance of \$2,575,000 of the outstanding bonds. The transaction is summarized as follows:

NOTE 4: DEBT SERVICE (Continued)

Par Value of Bonds	\$ 2,335,000
Net Premium	3,287
Additional Contribution	490,000
Funds Available	\$ 2,828,287
Cost of Escrow Securities (to refund \$2,575,000	
of the original bonds)	\$ 2,752,332
Underwriter's Discount	18,680
Issuance Costs	53,832
Contingency	3,443
Uses of Funds	\$ 2,828,287

This transaction results in gross savings (difference between original debt payments and new debt payments, not adjusted for present value) of over \$285,000 for the townships.

Following is a schedule of future debt service payments required as of December 31, 2007:

Fiscal						
Year	Principal		Interest		Total	
2008	\$	250,000	\$	131,575		381,575
2009		250,000		117,575		367,575
2010		275,000		103,950		378,950
2011		270,000		88,825		358,825
2012		265,000		78,700		343,700
Subtotal		1,310,000		520,625		1,830,625
2013-2017		1,295,000		247,250		1,542,250
2018-2019		505,000		290,200		795,200
Total	\$	3,110,000	\$	1,058,075	\$	4,168,075

The townships and County have pledged their full faith and credit to secure these bonds.

The following summarizes the debt service payments made:

	2007		2006	
Principal payments	\$ 250,000	\$	250,000	
Advance principal payment	-		490,000	
Interest payments	125,833		214,250	
Paying agent fees	 600		300	
	\$ \$ 376,433		954,550	

NOTE 5: RESTRICTED NET ASSETS

As discussed in Note 1, the Common Fund accounts for three sources of revenue, each of which is restricted for a specific purpose under the agreement between the Townships and the County of Jackson. Of the three restrictions, debt service is the first priority; operations and maintenance is second, and only then is the replacement and renewal account funded. An analysis of the activity in each of these accounts is as follows:

	2007		2006	
Restricted for debt service:				
Beginning balance	\$	1,107,067	\$	1,432,022
Revenues		443,621		625,883
Expenses		(376,433)		(950,838)
Ending balance		1,174,255		1,107,067
Restricted for operations and maintenance:				
Beginning balance		(65,765)		(45,120)
Revenues		8,122		-
Expenses		(15,672)		(20,645)
Ending balance		(73,315)		(65,765)
Restricted for renewal and replacement:				
Beginning balance		30,603		74,373
Revenues		13,985		11,317
Expenses		(5,900)		(55,087)
Ending balance		38,688		30,603
Total:				
Beginning balance		1,071,905		1,461,275
Revenues		465,728		637,200
Expenses		(398,005)		(1,026,570)
Ending balance	\$	1,139,628	\$	1,071,905

NOTE 6: RISK MANAGEMENT

The Common Fund is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions. The Townships have purchased commercial insurance to manage these risks.

MARKOWSKI & COMPANY

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

2880 SPRING ARBOR ROAD • JACKSON • MICHIGAN • 49203 PHONE (517) 782-9351 • FAX (517) 782-0599

MEMBERS:

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTATE PLANNING COUNCIL OF SOUTH CENTRAL MICHIGAN

RONALD L. MARKOWSKI, C.P.A.
DOUGLAS E. ATKINS, C.P.A.
SCOTT O. McLANE
RANDALL D. BIDDIX, C.P.A.
RETIRED PARTNER:
K. LAVERNE MARKOWSKI, CPA (1961-2006)

Auditor/Client Responsibility Communication

To the Advisory Committee Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated February 28, 2008. Professional standards require that we provided you with the following information related to our audit.

Our responsibility under Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated January 15, 2008, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement and are fairly presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because of the concept of reasonable assurance and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material errors, fraud, or other illegal acts may exist and not be detected by us.

As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund. Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

Significant Accounting Policies

Management has the responsibility for selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund are described in Note 1 to the general purpose financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. We noted no transactions entered into by the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

To the Board of Trustees Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund Page Two

Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the basic financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the general purpose financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

Significant Audit Adjustments

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a significant audit adjustment as a proposed correction of the basic financial statements that, in our judgment, may not have been detected except through our auditing procedures. These adjustments may include those proposed by us but not recorded by the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund that could potentially cause future financial statements to be materially misstated, even though we have concluded that such adjustments are not material to the current financial statements. We proposed no adjustments that could, in our judgment, either individually or in the aggregate, have a significant effect on the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund financial reporting process.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the general purpose financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit's general purpose financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Issues Discussed Prior to Retention of Independent Auditors

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund's auditor. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

To the Board of Trustees Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund Page Three

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing our audit.

Comments and Recommendations

During our audit, no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the Fund's internal control system design or operation came to our attention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Wolf Lake Sewer Common Fund Advisory Committee and the Boards of Grass Lake and Napoleon Townships and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

MARKOWSKI & COMPANY CPAs

February 28, 2008